



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

** If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	RILEY	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>	TONG AND FULNECK VALLEY ASS	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	[REDACTED]	
Line 4	BRADFORD	
Post Code	BD4 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 26 th March 2014.

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	VARIOUS	Paragraph	VARIOUS	Policy	
---------	---------	-----------	---------	--------	--

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	NO

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

- 1. Failure of the Core Strategy to show how the 'Duty to Co-operate' has been approached and fulfilled.** There appears to be no documentation in Bradford's draft that identifies those with whom it has co-operated, how this has been done, and what has been achieved through the process.
- 2. Failure to Co-operate with Leeds MC.** There is no sign of any committed and sustained co-operation between Leeds and Bradford despite the sensitive geographical adjacency of the green belt protected land that separates them. Leeds Council's objection to both the Tong and Holme Wood NDP and to the Core Strategy LDF FED and Bradford's hostile response exposes a failure to achieve this. The Core Strategy fails to identify the process that has been followed to meet this requirement, either in the on-going process of the formation of the Core Strategy or in the completion of its final form.
- 3. Failure to co-operate with public bodies.** We can find no evidence of co-operation with health authorities and water companies despite the increased health care challenges that would ensue from the Urban Extension, and the increased flood risk that would be brought to Pudsey Beck and Troydale.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

N/A

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

My Objections deserve the right to be heard.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:	<input type="text"/>	Date:	26 TH March 2014
---------------	----------------------	-------	-----------------------------